GOLDEN DRAGON CAPITAL

SODIUM-ION BATTERY

Anode Material

Industry Research Report

Author: Brendan Jephcott

Date: October 2026



Table of Contents

Executive Summary 2
1.0 Introduction 7
1.1 Working Principle 9
1.2 Component Materials 10
2.0 Sodium-ion Storage in Anode Material 11
2.1 Challenges of Conventional Graphite 11
2.2 Mainstream Anode Materials Under Research 13
2.2.1 Insertion-Reaction Anodes 13
2.2.2 Conversion-Reaction Materials 17
2.2.3 Alloying-Reaction Materials 17
2.3 Future Development Direction 18
3.0 Carbon-Based Anode Materials 20
3.1 Hard Carbon Anode Material 20
3.1.1 Sodium Storage Mechanism 21
3.1.2 Processing Flowsheet 23
3.1.3 Comparison of Hard Carbon Precursor Materials 28
3.1.4 Hard Carbon Processing Challenges and Solutions 35
3.1.5 Cash Cost Analysis (1 USD ~ 7 CNY) 39
3.2 Soft Carbon 42
3.3 Graphite 44
4.0 Competitive landscape 46
4.1 China 46
4.2 Japan 67
4.3 South Korea 74
4.4 India 75
4.5 Sri Lanka 75
4.6 United States 77
4.7 Finland 77
Appendix: Case Study — Proposed Integrated Bamboo-Based Hard Carbon Anode Plant 78
References 92
Disclaimer 98

Figures

Figure 1: Working principal of the 'rocking chair battery' 7
Figure 2: Sodium-ion batteries industry development history 8
Figure 3: Sodium-ion cylindrical batteries 8
Figure 4: Working principle of a sodium-ion battery 9
Figure 5: Schematic diagram of graphite crystal structure 11
Figure 6: Energy required for alkali metal (M)–graphite compound formation 12
Figure 7: Charge-discharge curves of graphite in ether-based solvents 12
Figure 8: Anode materials being researched for sodium-ion battery anode material application 13
Figure 9: Schematic diagram and SEM image of hard carbon, soft carbon, and graphite 15
Figure 10: Sodium-storage performance of graphene, soft carbon, hard carbon, and graphite 16
Figure 11: Specific capacity and average voltage of different sodium-ion anode materials 18
Figure 12: Future development trends of anode materials used in sodium-ion batteries 19
Figure 13: Visual structural characteristics of soft carbon and hard carbon 20
Figure 14: "House of Cards" sodium storage mechanism of hard carbon 21
Figure 15: Illustration of the mechanisms of interaction of sodium ions with carbon 22
Figure 16: Hard carbon sodium storage mechanisms — Capacity (mAh/g) vs voltage (Na+/Na) 23
Figure 17: Hard carbon formation scheme as a function of temperature 24
Figure 18: Biomass-based principal processing flowsheet 25
Figure 19: Kuraray Co., Ltd biomass-based hard carbon anode process flowsheet 25
Figure 20: Chengdu Baisige Technology Co., Ltd: biomass-based hard carbon anode process flowsheet 26
Figure 21: Resin-based principal processing flowsheet 26
Figure 22: Shengquan: Resin-based hard carbon anode process flowsheet 26
Figure 23: Asphalt/pitch-based principal processing flowsheet 27
Figure 24: Ningbo Shanshan Group Co., Ltd: Asphalt-based hard carbon anode process flowsheet 27
Figure 25: Coal-based principal processing flowsheet 27
Figure 26: SEM image of the coconut shell precursor (a); SEM image (b) and HRTEM image (c) of coconut shell-derived hard carbon 30
Figure 27: Schematic diagram of the synthesis of N/P co-doped carbon sheets from corn stalks 31
Figure 28: Hard carbon using phenolic resin as precursor and ethanol as pore-forming agent 32
Figure 29: Examples and data regarding hard carbon derived from anthracite precursors 33
Figure 30: Example of hard carbon produced using asphalt as a precursor 34
Figure 31: SEM images of cork-derived hard carbon carbonised at 800°C and 1600°C 37
Figure 32: SEM images of phenolic resin-based carbon after carbonisation 1200°C, 1400°C, and 1600°C 37
Figure 33: SEM and SAED of anthracite after carbonisation at 1000°C, 1200°C, 1400°C, and 1600°C 38
Figure 34: Sodium-storage mechanism of soft carbon materials (adsorption and intercalation) 42
Figure 35: Hard carbon and soft carbon specific capacity and Coulombic efficiency 43
Figure 36: Conventional graphite has poor sodium storage capacity 45
Figure 37: Expanded graphite has a strong sodium storage capacity 45
Figure 38: China sodium-ion battery (planned) anode material production capacity 48
Figure 39: Tianna Technology — Hard carbon products TN-4, TN-M1, TN-4T, TN-XE, and TN-4A 49
Figure 40: BTR — Electron micrograph of anode material product 52
Figure 41: Chengdu Baisige — YHC-1 Sodium-ionized hard carbon 54
Figure 42: Chengdu Baisige — YHC-2 Sodium-ionized hard carbon 55
Figure 43: Chengdu Baisige — YHC-2B Sodium-ionized hard carbon 56
Figure 44: Chengdu Baisige — NHC-4 Sodium-ionized hard carbon 56
Figure 45: Chengdu Baisige — NHC-330 Sodium-ionized hard carbon 57
Figure 46: Guoke Carbon — SEM images of B220, B240, B300, and D300 61
Figure 47: Kuraray — KURANODE Type 1 and Type 2 anode material properties 69
Figure 48: Kuraray — KURANODE Type 2 anode material specifications 69
Figure 49: Kureha — CARBOTRON® P anode material specifications 71
Figure 50: JFE Chemical — Manufacturing process of hard carbon 72
Figure 51: JFE Chemical — Coal derived hard carbon raw material after special heat treatment 73
Figure 52: Aekyung Chemical — Hard carbon anode material product specifications 74

Figure 53: Indi Energy — BioBlack™ hard carbon anode material 75
Figure 54: Haycarb — Hard carbon technical information 76
Figure 55: Haycarb — Hard carbon electrochemical performance 76

Tables

Table 1: Comparison between the sodium-ion and lithium-ion component materials 10
Table 2: Comparison between soft carbon versus hard carbon 15
Table 3: Performance indicators of sodium-ion batteries using carbon-based anode materials 16
Table 4: Performance comparison of mainstream anode materials used in sodium-ion batteries 19
Table 5: Comparison of advantages and disadvantages of mainstream hard carbon precursors 28
Table 6: Comparison of hard carbon precursor material production methods 29
Table 7: Performance comparison of hard carbon anodes produced from biomass precursors 31
Table 8: Performance comparison of hard carbon anodes produced from synthetic polymer precursors 32
Table 9: Performance comparison of hard carbon prepared from fossil fuel-based precursors 34
Table 10: Carbon yield and price of different precursors 39
Table 11: Parameters of different biomass precursors 40
Table 12: Comparison of costs, yields, and expenses of various hard carbon precursor materials 40
Table 13: Cost structure of LFP batteries (CNY/Wh) 41
Table 14: Cost structure of sodium-ion (LTMO) batteries (CNY/Wh) 42
Table 15: Performance comparison of hard carbon and soft carbon 44
Table 16: Leading Chinese sodium-ion battery anode material product performance comparison 47
Table 17: Leading Chinese sodium-ion battery anode companies planned capacity 48
Table 18: Tianna Technology — Hard carbon products TN-4, TN-M1, TN-4T, TN-XE, and TN-4A 49
Table 19: Shengquan — Hard carbon anode material product specification 50
Table 20: Shengquan — Hard carbon anode material product granularity 50
Table 21: BTR — SHC anode material product specifications 52
Table 22: BTR — HC anode material product specifications 52
Table 23: BTR — SC anode material product specifications 52
Table 24: BTR — Hard carbon related patents 53
Table 25: Baisige Technology — Hard carbon related patents 58
Table 26: JaNa Technology — NFPP cathode product indicators 59
Table 27: JaNa Technology — Hard carbon anode material product indicators 60
Table 28: Guoke Carbon — Hard carbon product specs of B220, B240, B300, and D300 61
Table 29: Shanshan — Sodium-ion battery anode material 62
Table 30: Shanshan — Hard carbon related patents 63
Table 31: Shinzoom — Hard carbon anode material product specs 64
Table 32: Shinzoom — Hard carbon related patents 65
Table 33: Shenzhen XFH Technology Co., Ltd — Hard carbon related patents 66
Table 34: Leading Japanese sodium-ion battery anode material companies 67
Table 35: JFE Chemical — Hard carbon anode material product specifications 73