

The background of the cover is a high-magnification microscopic image of a cathode material surface, showing a complex, layered, and porous structure. The image is overlaid with several large, semi-transparent geometric shapes: a large white triangle pointing downwards from the top left, a large white triangle pointing upwards from the bottom left, and a large white triangle pointing to the right from the top right. These shapes create a dynamic, abstract composition.

LMFP Cathode Material Market Research Report

Date: April, 2024

Author: Brendan Jephcott

Prepared by:

GOLDEN DRAGON CAPITAL

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Executive Summary | 2 |
| 1.0 Introduction | 12 |
| 1.1 Cathode Material Industry Development Trends | 12 |
| 1.2 Structure of Phospho-Olivines | 14 |
| 2.0 Lithium Manganese Iron Phosphate Cathode Material | 15 |
| 2.1 Advantages of LMFP | 21 |
| 2.1.1 Electrochemical Properties | 21 |
| 2.1.2 Temperature Performance | 22 |
| 2.1.3 Safety Performance | 23 |
| 2.1.4 Life Cycle | 23 |
| 2.2 Disadvantages of LMFP | 24 |
| 2.2.1 Dual Voltage Platform | 24 |
| 2.2.2 Low Electrical Conductivity | 25 |
| 2.2.3 Fe/Mn Ratio | 26 |
| 3.0 LMFP Processing Methods | 28 |
| 3.1 Fe/Mn Ratio | 32 |
| 3.2 Principal Processing Methods | 32 |
| 3.3 Product Modification Enhancement Methods | 40 |
| 3.3.1 Nanometerisation Modification Method | 43 |
| 3.3.2 Carbon Coating Modification Method | 45 |
| 3.3.3 Metal Ion Doping Modification Method | 49 |
| 3.3.4 Compounding LMFP With Other Cathode Material | 53 |
| 3.3.5 Solving Dual Voltage Platform | 59 |
| 3.3.6 Solving Low Conductivity | 60 |
| 3.3.7 Solving Specific Capacity, Stability and Cycle Performance | 61 |
| 3.3.8 Optimising LMFP with Lithium Supplements | 64 |
| 3.4 Cash Cost Analysis | 66 |
| 4.0 Commercialisation | 75 |
| 4.1 Lithium-ion Battery Market | 79 |
| 4.1.1 Two-Wheeler Vehicles | 79 |
| 4.1.2 Electric Vehicles | 83 |
| 4.1.3 High-Cold Energy Storage | 85 |
| 5.0 Competitive Landscape | 86 |
| 5.1 LMFP Cathode Material Companies | 88 |
| 5.2 LMFP Battery Companies | 102 |
| 6.0 Market Size Forecast | 113 |
| 6.1 Global LMFP Cathode Material Demand Forecast | 113 |
| 6.2 Upstream Manganese Demand | 117 |
| References | 120 |
| Disclaimer | 121 |

Table of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1: BYD — e6 taxi | 12 |
| Figure 2: Lithium-ion batteries installed capacity (January 20219 to April 2023)..... | 13 |
| Figure 3: Changes in BYD LFP battery system energy density (Wh/kg)..... | 14 |
| Figure 4: LMFP schematic of the crystal structure..... | 14 |
| Figure 5: LMFP cathode material powder used in lithium-ion batteries..... | 15 |
| Figure 6: Schematic structural diagram of $\text{LiMn}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{PO}_4$ | 15 |
| Figure 7: Comparison of voltage platforms of various olivine structural materials | 16 |
| Figure 8: LFP and LMP performance comparison..... | 16 |
| Figure 9: LMFP cathode material charge and discharge curve..... | 17 |
| Figure 10: LFP to LMFP cathode material voltage and energy density changes | 17 |
| Figure 11: Comparison of theoretical energy density between LMP and LFP..... | 18 |
| Figure 12: LMFP charge and discharge curve (left), and LFP charge and discharge curve (right)..... | 18 |
| Figure 13: Radar plots of LMFP vs mainstream cathode material types | 19 |
| Figure 14: Discharge profiles of LiMPO_4 (M = Fe, Mn, Ni, Co) olivines and $\text{LiFe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{PO}_4$ solid solution as cathodes of Li-ion batteries..... | 21 |
| Figure 15: Olivine vs layered crystal structure..... | 21 |
| Figure 16: Shenzhen Dynanonic Co., Ltd — LFP low temperature performance discharge curve | 22 |
| Figure 17: Tianjin Skoland Technology Co., Ltd — LMFP64 low temperature performance discharge curve..... | 22 |
| Figure 18: Comparison of capacity retention rates of different cathodes at low temperature (-20°C)..... | 23 |
| Figure 19: Tianjin Skoland Technology Co., Ltd — LMFP product cycle graph | 23 |
| Figure 20: Shenzhen Dynanonic Co., Ltd — LFP product cycle graphs | 24 |
| Figure 21: LMFP charge and discharge curves with two voltage platforms | 24 |
| Figure 22: Jahn-Teller distortion effect..... | 25 |
| Figure 23: LMFP charge-discharge voltage curves with different ratios of manganese to iron..... | 26 |
| Figure 24: Comparison of LFMP life cycles under different ratios of manganese and iron at 1C | 27 |
| Figure 25: Comparison of LFMP life cycles under different ratios of manganese and iron at 0.1C..... | 27 |
| Figure 26: LMFP discharge curves with different manganese-iron ratios..... | 28 |
| Figure 27: LMFP specific capacity and energy density curves with different manganese-iron ratios..... | 28 |
| Figure 28: Comparison and speculation on performance of different iron to manganese ratios | 29 |
| Figure 29: Skoland Technology — Solid-phase processing flowsheet method | 33 |
| Figure 30: CATL — Hydrothermal processing flowsheet method | 33 |
| Figure 31: Shenzhen Dynanonic — Sol-gel processing flowsheet method | 33 |
| Figure 32: Shenzhen Dynanonic — Carbon coated LMFP processing flowsheet method..... | 33 |
| Figure 33: Litai Lithium — Co-precipitation processing flowsheet method | 34 |
| Figure 34: Litai lithium — LMFP precursor hypergravity rotating bed processing flowsheet method | 34 |
| Figure 35: Gotion — LFP/carbon-coated core-shell LMFP composite processing flowsheet method..... | 34 |
| Figure 36: Voltage curves of ternary (black), LMF (blue), LMFP-ternary composite (red) and LFP (green) | 40 |
| Figure 37: Dissolution problem nickel (left) manganese (center) and cobalt (right) in ternary material..... | 40 |
| Figure 38: LMFP nanometerisation modification method..... | 43 |
| Figure 39: Capacity retention rate of LMFP with different particle sizes at low temperature (-20°C)..... | 44 |
| Figure 40: Tianjin Skoland Technology Co., Ltd — Nanometerisation modification method flowsheet..... | 44 |
| Figure 41: LMFP carbon coating modification method | 45 |
| Figure 42: Carbon coated principal processing flowsheet | 46 |
| Figure 43: Litai Lithium — Carbon coating modification method flowsheet | 46 |
| Figure 44: Improved chemical properties using carbon coating modification | 47 |
| Figure 45: Cycle life curves of LMFP material under different carbon content | 47 |
| Figure 46: Rate characteristics of LMFP with different doping materials..... | 48 |
| Figure 47: Cycle life and specific capacity of LMFP with different doping materials at 40°C..... | 49 |
| Figure 48: LMFP ion doping modification method | 49 |
| Figure 49: Schematic diagram of the structure of LiMPO_4 (M=Mn, Fe, Li ion path dashed yellow circles)..... | 50 |
| Figure 50: Comparison of material structure before and after doping with magnesium..... | 51 |
| Figure 51: First charge-discharge curves of LMFP doped/undoped with magnesium..... | 51 |
| Figure 52: Discharge curves of undoped LMFP at different rates | 52 |
| Figure 53: Discharge curves of Mg-doped LMFP at different rates..... | 52 |
| Figure 54: Vanadium doping improves cycling performance | 53 |
| Figure 55: Compounding ternary materials with LMFP can increase thermal stability | 53 |
| Figure 56: Shenzhen Dynanonic Co., Ltd — Nano-LMFP ternary composite material production process | 54 |
| Figure 57: Litai Lithium — LMFP-ternary composite material performance | 54 |
| Figure 58: Comparison of physical properties | 56 |
| Figure 59: Composite battery comparison of safety testing..... | 56 |
| Figure 60: Different implementation/comparison discharge curves..... | 59 |

GOLDEN DRAGON CAPITAL

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 61: Dynanonic LMFP-ternary composite principal processing flowsheet | 60 |
| Figure 62: First charge-discharge curve of LMFP without carbon coating | 62 |
| Figure 63: First charge-discharge curve of the carbon-coated LMFP | 63 |
| Figure 64: Discharge cycle curves of LMFPs prepared with different carbon coating amounts at 1C current density | 63 |
| Figure 65: Comparison of charge-discharge cycle curves of coin cell battery with gradient structure a (carbon coating) / core-shell structure b (shell material is carbon) LMFP | 64 |
| Figure 66: Comparison of rate performance of coin cell battery with gradient structure a (carbon coating) / core-shell structure b (shell material is carbon) LMFP | 64 |
| Figure 67: First cycle charge-discharge curves of LMFP and LMFP with excess lithium | 65 |
| Figure 68: Unit cost of different cathode materials (cost per watt hour) | 66 |
| Figure 69: Unit cost of different cathode materials (cost per tonne) | 67 |
| Figure 70: CATL battery system price trend (unit: CNY per Wh) | 67 |
| Figure 71: Litai Lithium — LMFP cash cost composition | 70 |
| Figure 72: Dynanonic — LMFP vs LFP cash cost composition | 70 |
| Figure 73: Tianjin Skoland Technology Co., Ltd — M6P (LMFP with coated NCM) composite material | 76 |
| Figure 74: Tianjin Skoland Technology Co., Ltd — M6P (LMFP coated NCM) composite material discharge curve | 76 |
| Figure 75: Chinese patent applications for LMFP (2005 to July 2022) | 78 |
| Figure 76: Distribution of LMFP related patents | 78 |
| Figure 77: Appearance of Niu's electric vehicle GOVA F0 | 80 |
| Figure 78: Lithium-ion battery two-wheeler production (2016 to 2022) | 80 |
| Figure 79: Lithium-ion battery shipments for two-wheelers (2018 to 2022) | 81 |
| Figure 80: China cathode market structure of lithium-ion battery two-wheelers | 81 |
| Figure 81: LMP+LMFP Composite Structure Diagram | 82 |
| Figure 82: Two-wheeler lithium-ion battery market (2020) | 82 |
| Figure 83: NCM-LMFP composite material has good safety and is suitable for new energy passenger vehicles | 83 |
| Figure 84: LMFP-NCM composite materials are suitable for new energy buses | 83 |
| Figure 85: Mixed LMFP solution is on the way to Chery Smart World S7 | 84 |
| Figure 86: Thermal stability is optimised after ternary material is compounded with LMFP | 84 |
| Figure 87: Cycle life is increased by 50% after Ternary 811 series is compounded with LMFP | 85 |
| Figure 88: Cash cost comparison of different cathodes (2020) | 85 |
| Figure 89: LMFP industry chain — Upstream (left), midstream (center), downstream (right) | 87 |
| Figure 90: LMFP cathode material technology patent applications (2014 to July 2023) | 87 |
| Figure 91: LMFP cathode material company patent applications (as of July 2023) | 88 |
| Figure 92: LMFP battery company patent applications (as of July 2023) | 88 |
| Figure 93: Major LMFP cathode material producers planned production capacity (unit: tpa) | 89 |
| Figure 94: Shenzhen Dynanonic — LMFP cathode material product | 91 |
| Figure 95: Yuneng New Energy — LMFP products | 92 |
| Figure 96: M6P is small particle size LMFP mixed with large particle NCM | 95 |
| Figure 97: M6P voltage platform is smoother and can continue to use ternary BMS | 95 |
| Figure 98: Dangsheng Technology — LMFP products | 96 |
| Figure 99: Tiannai Technology — Carbon nanotube products | 97 |
| Figure 100: Wanrun New Energy — LMFP products | 98 |
| Figure 101: Hengchuang Nano — LMFP cathode material indicators | 99 |
| Figure 102: Litai Lithium — LMFP cathode material, pure use (left) composite (right) | 99 |
| Figure 103: Chuangpus — LMFP cathode material | 101 |
| Figure 104: Energy density of LMFP batteries | 102 |
| Figure 105: CATL — M3P battery material system | 105 |
| Figure 106: CATL — EV passenger car solutions | 105 |
| Figure 107: CATL — Materials computing platform | 105 |
| Figure 108: CATL — Patent applications related to LMFP cathode material (as of June 2023) | 106 |
| Figure 109: Gotion Hi-tech — L600 Qichen Battery product performance indicators | 107 |
| Figure 110: BYD — LMFP related patent applications | 108 |
| Figure 111: CALB — LMFP battery product indicators | 109 |
| Figure 112: Hubei Jinquan New Materials Co., Ltd — LMFP cathode material | 110 |
| Figure 113: Farasis Energy — SPS soft pack battery development plan | 110 |
| Figure 114: Phylion Battery — LMFP outdoor power supply battery | 111 |
| Figure 115: Tianneng Battery — TP-MAX LMFP battery | 112 |
| Figure 116: China's NIU smart electric scooter F0 uses the TP-MAX LMFP battery | 113 |
| Figure 117: Future development trends of LMFP cathode material | 114 |
| Figure 118: Global LMFP cathode material demand forecast (2023E to 2025E) | 115 |
| Figure 119: Total shipments of LMFP batteries forecast (2023E to 2030E) | 117 |
| Figure 120: LMFP market size forecast (2023E to 2030E) | 117 |
| Figure 121: LMFP cathode material demand for manganese dioxide or sulfate (2023E to 2030E) | 118 |

GOLDEN DRAGON CAPITAL LIMITED

Address: 4th Floor, 9 Queen's Road Central, Central, Hong Kong, China

Phone: +852 4647 0122

Email: brendan@goldendragoncapital.com

Website: www.goldendragoncapital.com

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 122: Manganese unit consumption per manganese-to-iron ratio (tonnes per GWh) | 118 |
| Figure 123: Xiangtan Electrochemical and Red Star Development high purity manganese sulfate sales volume | 119 |
| Figure 124: Xiangtan Electrochemical and Red Star Development electrolytic manganese dioxide sales | 119 |

List of Tables

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1: Comparison of BYD e6 performance parameters | 12 |
| Table 2: Comparison of mainstream cathode materials..... | 20 |
| Table 3: Comparison of conductivity and lithium-ion diffusion coefficient in main cathode materials..... | 25 |
| Table 4: LMFP cathode material principal production process flowsheets | 32 |
| Table 5: Specific capacities of products produced by different preparation methods of core enterprises | 34 |
| Table 6: Comparison advantages and disadvantages of different LMFP processing methods..... | 35 |
| Table 7: Comparison of LMFP solid phase processing methods | 36 |
| Table 8: Comparison of LMFP liquid phase processing methods | 37 |
| Table 9: LMFP cathode material companies using liquid phase method | 38 |
| Table 10: LMFP cathode material companies using the solid-phase method | 39 |
| Table 11: LMFP cathode material companies using the liquid-phase method | 39 |
| Table 12: LMFP cathode material modification method and principles | 41 |
| Table 13: LMFP cathode material modification methods adopted by different companies | 41 |
| Table 14: Speculative analysis of mass production stage of LMFP cathode material | 42 |
| Table 15: LMFP company patents related to LMFP modification methods | 43 |
| Table 16: LMFP modification related patents | 43 |
| Table 17: Recent progress of $\text{LiMn}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{PO}_4$ by effective carbon-based material coating | 46 |
| Table 18: Recent progress of $\text{LiMn}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{PO}_4$ made through element doping | 50 |
| Table 19: Comparison of the first charge-discharge specific capacity of doped/undoped magnesium | 51 |
| Table 20: Discharge capacity (mAh/g) of Mg-doped/undoped LMFP at different rate performance | 51 |
| Table 21: Vanadium Doping Improves Conductivity | 52 |
| Table 22: Shenzhen Dynanonic Co., Ltd Nano-LMFP ternary composite material product indicators | 54 |
| Table 23: Advantages of LMFP composite material | 55 |
| Table 24: Comparison of physical properties | 55 |
| Table 25: Composite battery comparison of safety testing | 56 |
| Table 26: Compound modification method of major cathode companies | 57 |
| Table 27: Ronbay — LMFP-Ternary compound products | 57 |
| Table 28: Skoland — LMFP-Ternary compound products..... | 57 |
| Table 29: Comparison of electrochemical performance of different ratios | 58 |
| Table 30: Technical route of compound ternary in some companies | 58 |
| Table 31: Comparison of battery performance of different implementations/comparative examples | 59 |
| Table 32: Performance comparison of LMFP and NCM composite LMFP | 60 |
| Table 33: Difference between core-shell structure and concentration gradient | 61 |
| Table 34: Comparison of electrochemical performance with different/with and without core-shell structures | 61 |
| Table 35: Comparison of specific capacity of each group..... | 62 |
| Table 36: Comparison of cost and selling price between LMFP and LFP cathode material | 68 |
| Table 37: Theoretical dosage calculation | 69 |
| Table 38: Dynanonic and Litai Lithium BOM cash cost | 69 |
| Table 39: Dynanonic and Litai Lithium LMFP Products Cash Cost Composition | 70 |
| Table 40: LMFP cost-reduction routes | 71 |
| Table 41: Investment by Dynanonic in New Style Phosphate Cathode Material Production Project (yuan) | 71 |
| Table 42: Cash cost calculation of LMFP cathode raw materials..... | 72 |
| Table 43: BOM cost calculation comparison of LFP and LMFP batteries | 73 |
| Table 44: Liquid phase method principal processing flowsheets | 74 |
| Table 45: Liquid phase method unit cost calculation | 74 |
| Table 46: Calculation of unit construction cost by liquid phase method (Shenzhen Dynanonic as example)..... | 74 |
| Table 47: Solid phase method principal processing flowsheet..... | 74 |
| Table 48: Solid phase method unit cost calculation..... | 75 |
| Table 49: Calculation of unit construction cost using solid phase method (Hunan Yuneng as an example) | 75 |
| Table 50: Comparison of demand for power batteries and energy storage batteries | 75 |
| Table 51: LMFP commercial application direction | 76 |
| Table 52: Commercial applications for LMFP batteries | 77 |
| Table 53: Electric vehicle GOVA F0 power system parameters | 80 |
| Table 54: Performance of Phyllion LMO and LMFP Composite Battery | 82 |
| Table 55: Mavericks (G1 uses LFP, G2 will switch to LMFP, G0/F0/C0/F2 use LMFP) | 82 |

GOLDEN DRAGON CAPITAL

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 56: Comparison of LMFP, LFP, and ternary product indicators | 83 |
| Table 57: Major LMFP cathode material producers planned production capacity | 89 |
| Table 58: Shenzhen Dynanonic — LMFP cathode planned production capacity | 90 |
| Table 59: Shenzhen Dynanonic — LMFP business progress..... | 90 |
| Table 60: Shenzhen Dynanonic — LMFP cathode material product indicators..... | 91 |
| Table 61: Shenzhen Dynanonic — LMFP related patents | 91 |
| Table 62: Yuneng New Energy — LMFP business progress..... | 92 |
| Table 63: Yuneng New Energy — LMFP Production Capacity Base | 92 |
| Table 64: Yuneng New Energy — LMFP products..... | 92 |
| Table 65: Tianjin Skoland Technology Co., Ltd —LMFP related patents | 93 |
| Table 66: Tianjin Skoland — LMFP product indicators | 94 |
| Table 67: Tianjin Skoland — Company profile | 94 |
| Table 68: Dangsheng Technology — LMFP Business progress..... | 96 |
| Table 69: Dangsheng Technology — LMFP Product indicators | 96 |
| Table 70: Tiannai Technology — LMFP business progress | 96 |
| Table 71: Patents for adding carbon nanotubes (non-exhaustive)..... | 97 |
| Table 72: Wanrun New Energy — LMFP cathode material product progress | 98 |
| Table 73: Hengchuang Nano — LMFP cathode material indicators | 98 |
| Table 74: Litai Lithium — LMFP cathode material patents | 99 |
| Table 75: Litai Lithium — LMFP related patents..... | 100 |
| Table 76: Chuangpus — LMFP cathode material patents | 100 |
| Table 77: Major LMFP battery developers | 102 |
| Table 78: Summary of LMFP products (by company) | 103 |
| Table 79: CATL — M3P battery | 104 |
| Table 80: CATL — LMFP related patents | 104 |
| Table 81: Gotion — L600 Qichen battery | 107 |
| Table 82: BYD — LMFP related patents | 107 |
| Table 83: CALB — OS High-Mn LFP battery | 109 |
| Table 84: EVE Energy — LMFP cathode and battery | 109 |
| Table 85: Farasis Energy — LMFP battery | 110 |
| Table 86: Phylion Battery — LMFP battery | 111 |
| Table 87: Tianneng Battery — LMFP battery | 112 |
| Table 88: LMFP compound ternary solutions..... | 113 |
| Table 89: Demand for LMFP cathode material in the field of two-wheelers (2021 to 2025E) | 114 |
| Table 90: Demand for LMFP cathode material in the field of electric vehicles (2021 to 2025E) | 114 |

GOLDEN DRAGON CAPITAL LIMITED

Address: 4th Floor, 9 Queen's Road Central, Central, Hong Kong, China

Phone: +852 4647 0122

Email: brendan@goldendragoncapital.com

Website: www.goldendragoncapital.com